

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T California (U 1001 C),

Complainant,

v.

Case 06-03-013 (Filed March 10, 2006)

Fones4All Corporation (U 6338 C),

Defendant.

## ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER'S RULING AND SCOPING MEMO

This ruling sets the schedule for the proceeding and determines its scope following a telephonic prehearing conference conducted on June 6, 2006.

# **Background and Scope of Proceeding**

Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T California (AT&T California) filed this application on March 10, 2006, alleging that Fones4All has improperly billed it for termination of intraLATA traffic by Fones4All. AT&T California alleges that Fones4All has overstated related call volumes. The complaint seeks refunds in the amount of about \$2.6 million plus interest, and credits for all alleged overcharges billed since September 2005. Fones4All contests AT&T California's assertions that Fones4All has improperly billed AT&T California for terminating intraLATA traffic, alleging generally that it has ignored an enforceable oral agreement that established the method of calculating

236146 - 1 -

call volumes. It alleges that in any event AT&T California has not provided appropriate data for determining actual call volumes.

At the prehearing conference, the parties explained efforts to negotiate their dispute informally. Related discussions stopped in February 2006. They also discussed a complaint filed by Fones4All in federal court stating relating causes of action and seeking damages, which this Commission does not award. The court has referred the resolution of the factual issues to this Commission.

**Schedule**The parties agreed to the following schedule for this proceeding:

Date	Event
June 13, 2006	Parties will inform the ALJ whether
	their clients seek an early neutral
	evaluation or the assistance of the
	Commission mediator
August 4, 2006	Complainant and Defendant serve
	opening testimony
September 5, 2006	Defendant and Complainant serve
	reply testimony
September 14-15, 2006	Evidentiary hearings at 10:00 a.m.,
	Commission Courtroom, State Office
	Building, San Francisco, CA 94102

My goal is to resolve this case as soon as possible and I anticipate that the resolution will not exceed 12 months from the date of filing the complaint, pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 1701.2(d).<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 1701.2(d) states that adjudication cases shall be resolved within 12 months of initiation unless the Commission makes findings why that deadline cannot be met and issues an order extending that deadline.

C.06-03-013 CAC/KLM/sid

**Category of Proceeding** 

This proceeding is categorized as adjudicatory, and it is determined that

hearings are necessary.

**Ex Parte Communications** 

Pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 1701.2(b), ex parte communications are

prohibited in this proceeding.

**Presiding Officer** 

Pursuant to Rule 6(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure,

I designate Administrative Law Judge Kim Malcolm as presiding officer.

**IT IS RULED** that:

1. The schedule and issues are as set forth in this ruling, unless subsequently

modified by the Assigned Commissioner or assigned Administrative Law Judge

(ALJ).

2. This schedule may be modified to accommodate an early neutral

evaluation or mediation should the parties seek either.

3. ALJ Kim Malcolm is the presiding officer in this proceeding.

4. Pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 1701.2(b), ex parte communications are

prohibited.

Dated June 13, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ RACHELLE B. CHONG

Rachelle B. Chong Assigned Commissioner

- 3 -

## INFORMATION REGARDING SERVICE

I have provided notification of filing to the electronic mail addresses on the attached service list.

Upon confirmation of this document's acceptance for filing, I will cause a copy of the filed document to be served upon the service list to this proceeding by U.S. mail. The service list I will use to serve the copy of the filed document is current as of today's date.

Dated June 13, 2006, San Francisco, California.



## C.06-03-013 CAC/KLM/sid

#### \*\*\*\*\*\* APPEARANCES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

David J. Miller Attorney At Law AT&T CALIFORNIA ROOM 2018 525 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105 (415) 778-1393 davidjmiller@att.com

John L. Clark Attorney GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, RITCHIE & DAY, 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 (415) 765-8443 jclark@gmssr.com For: Fones4All Corporation

## \*\*\*\*\*\* STATE EMPLOYEE \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Robert Haga Executive Division RM. 5304 505 VAN NESS AVE San Francisco CA 94102 (415) 703-2538 rwh@cpuc.ca.gov

Kim Malcolm Administrative Law Judge Division RM. 5005 505 VAN NESS AVE San Francisco CA 94102 (415) 703-2822 kim@cpuc.ca.gov

## \*\*\*\*\*\*\* INFORMATION ONLY \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Bettina Cardona President FONES4ALL CORPORATION 6320 CANOGA AVE, SUITE 650 WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 (818) 223-1542 bettina@fones4all.com